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Questions

- How do Periodicity, entities and commitment intersect?
- How are meanings committed in line with patterns of Theme and New at all levels?
- How can we better understand disciplinary knowledge through this kind of analysis?
Overview

- Unfolding of textual continuity in text through
  - Periodicity
  - Abstract Entities
  - Commitment Theory

- On technical report (computational linguistics)
- On comparative interpretation (morphology)
Periodicity

• “is concerned with information flow - with the way in which meanings are packaged to make it easier for us to take them in” (Martin & Rose, 2007:187)
• Prediction - macroTheme, hyperTheme, Theme
• Accumulation - New, hyperNew, macroNew
Abstraction

- Different views on abstraction/ (abstract) nouns/ entities
  - General noun (Halliday & Hasan, 1976)
  - Vocabulary 3 (Winter, 1977)
  - Anaphoric nouns (Francis, 1986)
  - Simple Things (Halliday & Matthiessen, 1999)
  - Shell nouns (Schmid, 2000)
  - Signalling noun (Flowerdew, 2003)
  - Kinds of entities (Martin & Rose, 2007)
  - Cline of abstraction (Dreyfus & Jones, 2008)

Semantic density & semantic gravity?
Knowledge code & knower code?
# Kinds of Entities

Kinds of Entities (Martin & Rose 2007:114)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinds of entities</th>
<th>Sub-kind</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>everyday</td>
<td><em>man, girlfriend, face, hands, apple, house, hill</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>specialized</td>
<td><em>mattock, lathe, gearbox</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>technical</td>
<td><em>inflation, metafunction, gene</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>institutional</td>
<td><em>offence, hearing, applications, violation, amnesty</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>semiotic</td>
<td><em>question, issue, letter, extract</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>generic</td>
<td><em>colour, time, manner, way, kind, class, part, cause</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metaphoric</td>
<td>process</td>
<td><em>relationship, marriage, exposure, humiliation</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>quality</td>
<td><em>justice, truth, integrity, bitterness, security</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Entities

- Entities
  - Ostensive
    - Semiotic
      - Material
        - Everyday
      - Specialized
      - Perception
        - Desideratum
        - Emotion
        - Cognition
        - Mental
        - Generic
        - Technical
        - Institutional
        - Academic
      - Flexitech
        - Tech
        - Oppositional
  - Non-ostensive
    - Non-mental
      - Academic
      - Institutional
      - Flexitech
      - Oppositional
      - Tech
(Abstract) Entities

- **ostensive**
  - **semiotic** (meaning realized in a visible way; non-discipline-specific)
    - eg. text, paragraph, segment, ...
  - **non-ostensive**
    - **generic** (naming kinds of things; non-discipline-specific)
      - eg. fragments, order, rules, factors, kinds, ...
    - **tech** (learned through institutional settings; discipline-specific)
      - eg. lemmaization, information retrieval, inflected verb, ...
Commitment Theory

- Provides explanatory power for findings
- Provides another dimension for examining meaning in text
- Mapping the (abstract) entities and commitment
  - meaning potential

less committed  →  most committed

set rules  →  a set of rules  →  a set of reduction rules

"pure" generic  →  tech (?)
Texts
Technical report (computational linguistics)
Comparative interpretation (morphology)
Genre

• Technical report (computational linguistics)
  Stages: (Abstract) ^ Orientation ^ Description & Discussion ^ Coda

• Comparative interpretation (morphology)
  Stages: (Abstract) ^ Orientation ^ Description ^ Discussion ^ Coda
Technical Report
computational linguistics
Model Text

- Discussion on observation and findings
  - on lemmatisation

- Periodicity structure
  - macroTheme, hyperThemes, macroNew
    - abstract entities & commitment

- 2 paragraphs
  - abstract entities & commitment
1. Objectives
The aim of this practical is to implement and test a stemmer based on the algorithm by Paice (1977). The algorithm was thoroughly studied and the missing fragments in the given Java program were filled in. The completed program was tested on a set of words.

2. The Stemmer

The current implementation was based on the algorithm and reduction rules described in Paice (1977).

3. Data

4. Results and Discussion

Hence the Paice Stemmer was implemented and tested. The order of the rules is important. Although the string of characters resulting from stemming might not always form a real word, stemmers only need a set of rules and run fast, and are therefore widely used in some language applications.
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So far...

- tech & generic
- moving from more committed to less
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A stemmer is used to reduce a given word form to its root word, like a lemmatiser reducing a word to its lemma. However, a lemmatiser is expected to always give a linguistically valid word as the result, and it therefore consists of a set of reduction rules with a dictionary to check whether the result is a proper word. This is not necessary for a stemmer, which only requires a set of reduction rules. For example, a rule like “ATIONAL --> ATE” would replace the word-ending “ational” with “ate”. Thus a word like “relational” would be reduced to “relate” by a stemmer.
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The current implementation was based on the algorithm and reduction rules described in Paice (1977).

There were 26 rules. The rules were listed in a plain text file, as in the following examples:
-   ies  y   ARY
ARY  ary   - finish
The first rule above deals with the ending “ies”, which would be removed and then replaced with “y”, and the program would jump to the rule with label “ARY” and continue the process. The second rule has label “ARY” and deals with the ending “ary”, which would simply be removed. The main program would thus traverse the rules one by one, try to match with the ending of the input word, and perform the necessary action accordingly. Hence, if the input word is “dictionaries”, it will first be reduced to “dictionary” by replacing “ies” with “y”, and then to “diction” by removing “ary”. The completed program fragment is shown below.
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Comparative interpretation

Morphology
Model Text

• Explain the differences of the two morphological processes

• Periodicity structure
  • macroTheme, hyperThemes, macroNew
    • abstract entities & commitment
According to Fromkin (2000), morphology is the study of word formation and word structure. Two methods of word formation are inflection and derivation. In inflection, morphemes are added to words to signal grammatical relations (Stump 2001). Inflectional morphemes are required by syntactic rules. Unlike inflection, derivation forms words with new lexical meanings (Beard 2001). Further, derivational morphemes are not required by syntactic rules. This essay will compare inflectional morphology with derivational morphology by examining their functions and natures. Examples from the article “The Australian Accent” are used to illustrate the differences.

**HyperTheme 1**
Inflectional morphology serves grammatical functions whereby morphemes are added to words to make a sentence grammatical.

**HyperTheme 2**
Derivational morphology refers to the formation of new words by adding derivational morphemes to the existing words (base).

**HyperTheme 3**
There are a number of differences between inflectional morphology and derivational morphology.

**MacroNew**
In conclusion, inflectional morphology and derivational morphology differ in their function, nature and the effect imposed on the formed words. Inflectional morphology serves grammatical functions whereas derivational morphology forms words with new lexical meanings. Moreover, inflection does not change the part of speech of the inflected words but derivation usually changes the part of speech of the derived words. Finally, inflection is more regular than derivation.
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hyperTheme 2

Derivational morphology refers to the formation of new words by adding derivational morphemes to the existing words (base).
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macroNew

In conclusion, inflectional morphology and derivational morphology differ in their function, nature and the effect imposed on the formed words. Inflectional morphology serves grammatical functions whereas derivational morphology forms words with new lexical meanings. Moreover, inflection does not change the part of speech of the inflected words but derivation usually changes the part of speech of the derived words. Finally, inflection is more regular than derivation.
Periodicity

- Technical report (computational linguistics)
  
  macroTheme
  Objective

  hyperTheme 1
  Description on program concerned

  hyperTheme 2
  Test Data

  hyperTheme 3
  Results and Discussion

- Comparative interpretation (morphology)
  
  macroTheme
  Objective

  hyperTheme 1
  Introduction

  hyperTheme 2
  Derivational morphology

  hyperTheme 3
  Differences of the two morphological processes

macroNew
Summary

macroNew
Summary
Entities & Commitment

- abstract entities
- highly technical
- commitment theory
- moving from more committed to less committed
Next steps...

- mapping of entities with commitment theory
- LCT cosmologies?
  - Semantic wave
  - Knowledge code and knower code?
  - Cosmologies?
- "Dative power" of generic, semiotic and tech within NG?
  - focus
  - Epithet/Classifier^Thing
  - Thing^Qualifier
- students' texts
  - macroTheme, hyperThemes, macroNew
    - abstract entities & commitment
Comment? Feedback? Question?